



**INTERNATIONAL SOCIETY FOR PHOTOGRAMMETRY AND REMOTE SENSING
INTERNATIONALE GESELLSCHAFT FÜR PHOTOGRAMMETRIE UND FERNERKUNDUNG
SOCIETE INTERNATIONALE DE PHOTOGRAMMETRIE ET DE TELEDETECTION**

Headquarters
1980 - 1984

Telex: 923868 unihn d
Telephone: (0511) 762-2481

Institut für Photogrammetrie
und Ingenieurvermessungen
Universität Hannover
Nienburger Straße 1
D-3000 Hannover
F.R. Germany

ISP(RS) Council Agenda

Gimont (Toulouse), France
September 20-21, 1982

Session 1

1. Welcome by President
2. Review of Agenda
3. Minutes of the Montreux Council meeting
4. Review of Action Items from Montreux Minutes
5. President's Report
6. First Vice President's Report
7. Second Vice President's Report

Session 2

8. Commission Presidents' Reports (Com I to VII)

Session 3

9. Congress Director's Report
10. Guidelines for Congress Papers and Sessions

Session 4

11. Secretary General's Report
12. Treasurer's Report and Financial Matters
13. New Member Applications
14. China (Beijing) and China (Taipei)
15. Statutes and Bylaws (handling of resolutions)
16. Sustaining Members
17. Cooperation with other meetings
18. Joint Board Meeting in Graz
19. 1983 Council Meeting (time and location)
20. Miscellaneous

Session 5

21. Commission Presidents' Reaction to Congress Plans



INTERNATIONAL SOCIETY FOR PHOTOGRAMMETRY AND REMOTE SENSING
INTERNATIONALE GESELLSCHAFT FÜR PHOTOGRAMMETRIE UND FERNERKUNDUNG
SOCIÉTÉ INTERNATIONALE DE PHOTOGRAMMETRIE ET DE TELEDETECTION

Headquarters
1980 - 1984

Telex: 923868 unihn d
Telephone: (0511) 762-2481

Institut für Photogrammetrie
und Ingenieurvermessungen
Universität Hannover
Nienburger Straße 1
D-3000 Hannover
F.R. Germany

Minutes of the Meeting of the Council of the International
Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, held in Gimont (Toulouse),
France from September 20 to September 21, 1982

All members of Council and the Chairman of the Financial Commission Mrs. Aino Savolainen, were present at all 5 sessions of the meeting. All Commission Presidents joined the meeting for session 2, 3 and 5.

Session 1, Sept. 20, 1982; 9-10¹⁵ h

1. Welcome; President Doyle welcomed those present and informed that the Commission Presidents would join the meeting for the discussion of agenda items in sessions 2, 3 and 5.

2. Review of Agenda

The Agenda was approved as suggested by the President and the Secretary General in appendix 1.

3. Minutes of the Montreux Council meeting 1981

The Montreux Council meeting minutes were approved as circulated.

4. Review of Action Items from Montreux Minutes

The action items were reviewed in the usual manner.

5. President's Report

Dr. Doyle's Report is enclosed in appendix 2.

6. First Vice President's Report

- a) Dr. Zarzycki reported, that he had reviewed the new version of the Statutes.
- b) Presently he is working on procedures for the presentation of papers at the Congress. As a preparation he met with Prof. Jerie and Dr. Leberl.
- c) In April 1982 the Canadian Institute of Surveying celebrated its 100th anniversary. Half a day of the sessions was devoted to ISP(RS). He represented the society on behalf of the President. All technical commissions of ISP(RS) were invited to present papers at these sessions.
- d) At the Commission II-ISP(RS) Symposium in Ottawa, August 1982, he represented the President.

7. Second Vice President's Report

Dr. Antipov's Report is enclosed in appendix 3.

Session 2, Sept. 20, 1982; 10³⁰-13 h and 18⁰⁰ - 19²⁰ h

President Doyle welcomed the Commission Presidents and asked them to give their written commission reports to the Secretary General. G.Konecny outlined the agenda for sessions 2, 3 and 5.

8. Commission President's Reports

a) Commission I

Dr.Trinder's report is enclosed in appendix 4.

Important is the name change for WG I/3 into "Aerial Photography - Sensor Orientation and Navigation".

W.G. I/5 has Mr.Ray Dando as new chairman and Dr.Lorenz as co-chairman.

With respect to camera calibration (WG I/2) Council suggested a separate publication distributed by ISP(RS). NRC will possibly support the publication.

The work of WG I/4 (R.McEwen) should not conflict with the Committee under the chairmanship of Dr.Colvocoresses, which is to draft recommendations rather than investigate.

Prof.Corten, chairman of WG I/3 will retire from ITC in June 1983. A farewell seminar involving WG I/3 is planned at ITC in Enschede.

b) Commission II

Dr. Jaksic's report is given in appendix 5.

Important are the pre-announced mini-symposia of the working groups:

WG II/1 and WG II/2 on automation in Enschede

WG II/3 on instrumentation for analysis in Pasadena, California and in Europe in November 1983

WG II/4 and WG II/5 in Tokyo, Japan.

c) Commission III

Prof. Kilpelä's report is appended as appendix 6.

Concern was expressed by the apparent lack of coordination between the activities of WG III/4 (Anuta/Mikhail) and WG III/5 (Leberl) on Image Processing.

It was also found that there is an increased overlap between the work of commissions II and III.

While it was realized that the apparent duplication was basically due to geographical reasons, it was suggested to hold joint or at least not separate concurrent sessions on these topics at the Rio Congress.

d) Commission IV

Mr. Roy Mullen's report is attached as appendix 7.

It was noted that two working groups IV/4 and IV/6 have not yet been active. It was hoped that work can commence as soon as possible.

There was considerable discussion on the advantages and disadvantages of having held the Commission IV symposium jointly with the Autocartomeeting. While this was in line with the recommendations of the joint IPS(RS), ICA, FIG meeting on automated cartography in Zürich of 1979, which stated

that the photogrammetric aspects and the cartographic aspects of digital cartography should not be separated, it caused that the Commission IV symposium lost some of its traditional international identity, but was able to gather a very significant exhibit.

The proceedings of the Commission IV-symposium are, however, separate from Autocarto.

e) Commission V

Dr. Gate's report is given in appendix 8.

Discussion evolved concerning a better name for the commission. A suggested modification of the name was: "Photogrammetric Techniques in Industry and Science".

f) Commission VI

Since the Symposium was scheduled after the Council Meeting in Mainz from Sept 22-25, 1982 Prof. Hothmer gave an oral report on its preparations and work of the commission so far.

The topic of education will also be adequately dealt with at the joint IAG-ICA-FIG-ISP(RS) symposium on education in geodesy, photogrammetry and cartography in Graz from Sept 27-29, 1982.

One of the prime concerns of the commission is information retrieval. Special emphasis will be placed on this topic at Mainz.

Prof. Hothmer submitted a special draft for recommendations on National Reports to the Congresses. It is enclosed in appendix 9.

g) Commission VII

Since the Council meeting was held immediately after the Commission VII Symposium in Toulouse from Sept 13-17, 1982 at which most Council members and Commission Presidents were present, there was no need for Commission President Louis Laidet to give a detailed report on the activities of Commission VII, considering that he was amidst organization of both the meetings.

It was realized that the Commission VII-Symposium was largest ISP(RS) meeting since the Hamburg Congress and that the work of its 15 Working Groups (7 on methodology and 8 on applications) was well under way.

WG VII/3 will hold a special colloquium in 1983. There was an intent to hold a joint symposium with IUFRO (International Union of Forest Research Organizations) at the time of the Rio de Janeiro ISP(RS) Congress in 1984.

Dates will be: WG VII/3: Bordeaux, Sep 13-16, 1983

WG VII/11 & 5: Seattle, May 23-27, 1983

Concern was expressed that there was some common ground between WG VII/10 and the work of Commission V.

Session 3, Sept 20, 1982; 14³⁰ - 18⁰⁰ h

9. Congress Director's Report (Appendix 10)

Dr. Fagundes reported that the contract has been signed with Rio Centro to hold the Congress there from June 17-29, 1984. The Rio Centro is the national congress facility in the Barra de Tijuca, west of Rio de Janeiro. The total facility has an area of 600 000 m². It is rented exclusively.

Another contract was signed with FOCCO to organize the exhibit in the Rio Centro.

The congress facilities permit to hold 5 simultaneous sessions (2 with simultaneous translation, 3 without). 4 rooms hold 700 to 1000 participants

and 1 room 300 people.

The auditorium seating 2500 persons will be used for the opening session (June 17 afternoon) and the closing session.

Poster sessions will be arranged at the time the general assembly meets.

The exhibit hall holds 20 000 m² and cannot be fully utilized. Mr. Temudo, president of FOCO outlined the marketing strategy to be followed. According to an anchor-strategy large key areas will be rented under special conditions. Discounts to the exhibitors will be given for early commitments.

Exhibit spaces are planned for 6 exhibitors with 500 m², for 10 exhibitors with 250 m², for several exhibitors with 50 m² and in addition small exhibits.

Dr. Fagundes outlined the planned social program:

June 18 noon a welcoming luncheon for the ladies. An evening reception at Urca Hill, the first platform of sugar loaf mountain. A ballet. A jewellery show. Weekend tours.

Registration will open on June 17, 1984 from 11 to 17.00^h. The Opening ceremony will be at 18.00^h. The exhibits will open at 21.00^h with a cocktail reception.

The following table lists the technical sessions preliminarily assigned by the congress committee with the additional needs expressed by the commission presidents:

Commission	assigned no. of sessions	additional need	total need
I	7	3	10
II	10	5	15
III	9	4	13
IV	7	3	10
V	17	5	22
VI	7	3	10
VII	37	13	50

A preliminary time allocation is provided in appendix 11.

Office space and secretarial services will be provided to each commission during the Congress.

The discussion resulted in the following suggestions:

- prepublication of the congress is best done in large numbers (10 000) with a thin leaflet;
- the deadline for submitting outlines (rather than abstracts) of papers should not be later than December 31, 1983. A rigid schedule for reviewing outlines should be adhered to by the Commission presidents.
- Commission presidents should solicit papers already in the fall of 1983.
- Commission presidents should be selective in choosing the final program to leave more time for discussion in the general session, making good use of the poster sessions available for the bulk of the presented papers.
- A plenary technical session of review character should be scheduled for June 29, 1984 for two session periods without break. Nominations for speakers at this plenary session should be sent to Council by the Commission presidents 6 months prior to the Congress.
- A special instruction to authors as to how to prepare and use visual aids in the technical sessions should be prepared by the Congress Committee.

- g) Similar instructions for the preparation of poster session material should also be prepared by the Congress Committee.
- g) These instructions should be distributed to the authors at the time of accepting their paper, also sending them typing instructions and margined sample sheets for the prepublication of papers.

10. Guidelines for Congress Papers and Sessions

Dr. Zarzycki distributed and discussed the enclosed draft of appendix 12. These were prepared by him after discussions with Dr. Leberl on the basis of past experiences that there were usually too many papers presented at the congresses with too little time left for discussion.

Session 4, Sept 20, 1982; 21⁰⁰-24⁰⁰ h and
Sept 21, 1982; 8.30 - 10⁰⁰ h

11. Secretary General's Report

Prof. Konecny circulated the report contained in appendix 13.

12. Treasurer's Report and Financial Matters

Dr. Jerie submitted an account to Council as of June 30, 1982.

The total balance of ISP(RS) accounts was:

date	sfr account	hfl account
June 30, 1981	98 251.54 sfr	0.88 hfl
June 30, 1982	116 197.28 sfr	2336.57 hfl
difference	+ 17 945.76 sfr	+2335.69 hfl

The major income was:

membership fees:	31 082.26 sfr
interest:	7 438.81 sfr
	<hr/>
	38 521.07 sfr

The major expenses were:

secretariate:	4 924.49 sfr
council members:	17 743.56 sfr
	<hr/>
	22 668.05 sfr

An audited financial report will be distributed to the members in 1983.

The following members have on the date of the Council meeting not yet paid their membership dues for two years or more:

Sudan	Suriname
Mexico	Tunisia
Pakistan	

Reminders to these members have been sent.

Mrs. Aino Savolainen, the chairman of the Financial Commission reported, that the Financial Commission met in Toulouse prior to the Council meeting. The following recommendations were made:

- a) The ISP(RS) funds have increased by 20 000 sfr over the last 2 years; but due to increasing normal expenses no special expenditures should be planned.
- b) It is recommended to keep the major funds in Swiss francs.
- c) There is no mention in the Statutes as to who elects the Chairman of the Financial Commission.
The Second Vice President, in reviewing the Statutes, should take note of this to revise Art.18.
To assure continuity and expertise the treasurer should be chairman or at least a member of the Financial Commission in the next Council period.
- d) The next meeting of the Financial Commission should take place in London in 1983, preferably before or after the time of the EARSeL General Assembly (Brussels, April 1983).

President Doyle presented the request of Commission VI to support activities of the multilingual dictionary in the amount of \$ 10 000. It was felt on principle that commission activities should be financed exclusively by the country hosting the commissions. Support through ISP(RS) funds was only justified, if this was a separate ISP(RS) activity outside of the Commission scope. In this case Prof.Hothmer should submit a budget to the President of ISP(RS) indicating that the intended dictionary will be an ISP(RS) publication.

13. New Member Applications

A mail vote to admit photogrammetric organizations of Ethiopia, Bolivia and Uruguay as members was still pending, since only 29 members, less than the required quorum, have submitted their votes at the time of the Council meeting. In the meantime the quorum has been reached and these members have been admitted by the mail vote. There were 33 votes returned, of which at least 32 were positive for all new members.

Photogrammetric organizations in Tanzania and Zaire have documented, that they fulfil the requirements for ISP(RS) membership. Therefore a mail vote to admit these organizations is circulated herewith.

Interest has also been expressed by organizations in the State of Abu Dhabi and in Bahrain. They are first to clarify, whether they represent the interests of all photogrammetrists and remote sensing experts in the United Arab Emirates or in the State of Bahrain respectively.

Enquiries to be followed up have also been received from Saudi Arabia, Ivory Coast, Jamaica and Lesotho.

14. China (Beijing) and China (Taipei)

The Chinese Societies for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing in Beijing and in Taipei have both joined the International Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing under the new Statutes admitting separate regional members for one country if these regions have an independent budget. Council confirmed that it is the intention of the International Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing and its officers to observe these rules mutually agreed upon. If countries are used to identify participants, then persons from the Societies of Beijing and Taipei are to be listed under the name of one country: China. A further clarification of the region China (Beijing) or China (Taipei) is permissible.

ISP(RS) consists of member societies and not of national representatives.

Therefore the display of national emblems, such as flags, is not the responsibility of ISP(RS). Congress and symposium organizers, which display such emblems under their discretion are requested not to cause confrontations which might not be conducive to world-wide scientific exchanges. Within ISP(RS) the terms "member" should be utilized instead of formerly "national member"; "commission correspondent" instead of "national correspondent" and "society's name" instead of "country".

15. Statutes and Bylaws

Dr. Antipov is in preparation of a report. It will be circulated when available.

16. Sustaining Members

6 new sustaining members have applied for admission and have been admitted by Council:

1. Aerodata-Aerofotogrametria e Consultoria S/A, Brazil
2. Genge Aerial Surveys, Sacramento, California, USA
3. Marshal Macklin & Monaghan, Don Mills, Ont., USA
4. Intergraph, Huntsville, Ala., USA
5. Kucera Inc., Mentor, Ohio, USA
6. Precision Photo Labs., Dayton, Ohio, USA

A list of current members and sustaining members is enclosed in appendix 14 as well as an updated list of Commissions and Working Groups in appendix 15.

17. Cooperation with other meetings

A schedule of meetings has been prepared by President Doyle. It is enclosed in appendix 16.

ISP(RS) representation has been discussed:

- Dr. Doyle at IGA-ISP(RS)-ICA-FIG education meeting in Graz
- Dr. Murai on behalf of ISP(RS) or Dr. Laidet at Third Asian Conference on Remote Sensing in Bangladesh
- Dr. Doyle at ERIM Conferences Fort Worth (Dec. 1982) and Ann Arbor (Dec. 1983)
- Dr. Doyle and Prof. Konecny at FIG-Congress Sofia (June 19-28, 1983) and at joint board meeting of IAG-ICA-FIG-ISP(RS) on June 29, 1983 there
- Dr. Zarzycki at Commonwealth Survey Officer's conference in Cambridge (July 18-28, 1983)
- Prof. Konecny at United Nations Regional Cartographic Conference for Asia and the Pacific (Jan 18-29, 1983, Bangkok)
- Prof. Konecny at United Nations Regional Cartographic Conference for Africa (Feb. 1983, Cairo)
- Prof. Konecny, IUGG Congress Hamburg (Aug 16-27, 1983).

18. Joint Board Meeting, Graz

President Doyle is to represent ISP(RS) at the Joint Board meeting of IAG-FIG-ICA-ISP(RS) in Graz.

19. 1983 Council Meeting

Dr. Antipov confirmed the invitation by the National Committee of Photogrammetrists of the USSR to hold the next ISP(RS) Council Meeting from July 4 to July 6, 1983 in Minsk.

20. Miscellaneous

- a) During the Commission Symposia interest has been shown from the following societies to take over commissions during the 1984-88 council period:

United Kingdom	Com IV
Netherlands	Com VII
Yugoslavia	Com VII
F.R.Germany	Com I
China (Beijing)	- Com III

- b) Mr. Palgen offered to organize a United Nations Workshop during the Rio Congress.
This matter is of concern to the government of Brazil and the Congress Committee.

Session 5, Sept 21, 1982; 10⁰⁰ - 13⁰⁰ h

21. Commission President's Reaction to Congress Plans

- a) The commission Presidents were reminded of the special conditions under which the members of China (Beijing) and China (Taipei) were admitted. They were briefed on the recommendations of agenda item 14.
- b) The commission presidents were informed of the interests of certain member societies for the commissions during the 1984-1988 council period discussed under agenda item 20.

- c) For the Congress Site for 1988 the following interest has recently been expressed:

Australia; Sydney, November 1988
Japan; Kyoto, summer 1988

The interest expressed in Hamburg 1980 of India, Italy, the United States and the United Kingdom has not yet been confirmed.

- d) The following discussion points arose regarding preparations for the 1984 Congress:

1. Com VI does not like simultaneous sessions, since Commission VI usually gets second choice. Prof. Hothmer prefers few sessions (6 only), but without time conflict with other commissions.
2. There will be altogether 56 sessions of simultaneous translation in Rio. The remainder will not have simultaneous translation.
3. Commission VII wishes wide information on the Congress in form of posters and small leaflets as soon as possible.
4. Chairmen of congress sessions should be instructed to absolutely keep to their time schedule by the commission presidents.
5. Commission presidents should be very selective in choosing papers for presentation in their sessions. They should make increased use of the poster sessions and the Archives for papers not of general interest (but high value!).
6. A maximum of 15 minutes should not be exceeded for general oral presentations at a session. A longer time can be offered at the poster sessions (36 booths are available simultaneously).

7. 10 pages of text of a paper will be printed free.
8. Color reproductions should be provided by the author.
9. A late paper volume should be printed.
10. Publication of an ISP(RS)-Newsletter was considered unnecessary.

Prof.Fagundes thanked the Commission Presidents for their suggestions.

Dr.Doyle concluded the meeting.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'G. Konecny', written in a cursive style.

G.Konecny
Secretary General

